CLAIM AMENDMENTS

1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

using, by one or more computing devices, a system definition model in a

development phase of a system to design the system, the system definition model

including one or more requirements of the system that must be to be satisfied by an

environment of which the system is to be deployed in order for the system to run in the

environment, wherein the system [[is]]includes an application;

validating the environment for the system, by the one or more computing devices,

by comparing the one or more requirements of the system with the environment of which

the system is to be deployed to determine whether the one or more requirements of the

system are satisfied by the environment during the development phase;

validating the system for the environment, by the one or more computing devices,

by comparing the one or more requirements of the environment with the system to

determine whether the one or more requirements of the environment are satisfied by the

system during the development phase;

subsequently using, by the one or more computing devices, the system definition

model in a deployment phase of the system to deploy the system on at least one of the

one or more computing devices;

after deployment of the system, calling, by the one or more computing devices,

one or more functions defined within the system definition model during a management

-2- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the at least one of the one or more

computing devices; and

integrating the development phase, the deployment phase, and the management

phase in a lifecycle of the system based on the system definition model.

validating the environment, by the one or more computing devices, by comparing

the one or more requirements of the system with the environment of which the system is

to be deployed to determine whether the one or more requirements of the system are

satisfied by the environment during at least the design of the system.

2.-3. (Canceled)

4. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

using knowledge obtained during management of the system to design a

subsequent version of the system.

5. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on the one or more

computing devices.

6. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on multiple different

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-3- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

computing devices, and wherein the knowledge includes different knowledge describing

how to deploy the system on each of the multiple different computing devices.

7.-8. (Canceled)

9. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the

validating the environment compares the one or more requirements of the system with the

environment during both [[a]]the design of the system prior to the using the system

definition model to deploy the system and during [[a]]the management phase of the

system.

10. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system definition

model includes knowledge describing how to manage the system after deployment of the

system.

11. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

during management of the system, using a flow to automatically propagate a

configuration change to the system.

12. (Canceled)

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

13. (Previously Presented) A method as recited in claim 48, wherein the

system definition model for the environment is derived through examination of the

configuration of the one or more computing devices.

14. (Canceled)

15. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein a plurality of

environments are deployed on the one or more computing devices, the method further

comprising:

using a plurality of different system definition models to design each of the

plurality of environments, wherein each of the plurality of environments is associated

with one of the plurality of different system definition models;

using, for each environment, the associated one of the plurality of different system

definition models to deploy the environment; and

after deployment, using, for each environment, the associated one of the plurality

of different system definition models to manage the environment.

16. (Original) A method as recited in claim 15, wherein each of the plurality of

environments is layered, and wherein each of the plurality of environments serves as

environment to one other of the plurality of environments or to the system.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-5- lee@haves The Business of IP®

17. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media A

computing device having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that when executed by

a processor, cause the processor to perform actions comprising:

useusing a system definition model in a development phase of a system to design

the system, wherein the system [[is]]includes an application, the system definition model

includes a representation of an environment in which the application is to be deployed,

and the using includes binding the application to the representation in the system

definition model, the representation including definitions for hosts of the environment of

their application components and constraints on the configuration of their applications;

determined that the environment in which the application is to be

deployed satisfies the constraints on the configuration of their applications prior to

deploying the system;

validating the environment for the system, by the processor, by comparing the one

or more requirements of the system with the environment of which the system is to be

deployed to determine whether the one or more requirements of the system are satisfied

by the environment during the development phase;

validating the system for the environment, by the processor, by comparing the one

or more requirements of the environment with the system to determine whether the one or

more requirements of the environment are satisfied by the system during the development

phase;

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-6- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

subsequently useusing the system definition model in a deployment phase of the

system to deploy the system on one or more computing devices; [[and]]

during the deployment phase of the system, generating a record of resources

involved in the deployment phase and relationships between the resources; and

after deployment of the system, invoking one or more functions defined within the

system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system

deployed on the one or more computing devices.

18.-19. (Canceled)

20. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media The

computing device as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes

knowledge describing how to deploy the system.

21. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media The

computing device as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes

knowledge describing how to deploy the system in multiple different environments, and

wherein the knowledge includes different knowledge describing how to deploy the

system in each of the multiple different environments.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-7- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

22. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media The

computing device as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes

constraints that must to be satisfied by an environment in order for the system to be run in

the environment.

23. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media The

computing device as recited in claim 22, wherein to use the system definition model to

deploy the system is to use the system definition model to check whether the constraints

are to be satisfied by the environment during design of the system.

24. (Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage media The

computing device as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model includes

knowledge describing how to manage the system.

25. (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:

a processor;

means operable by the processor for using a controller executed on the processor,

configured to use a system definition model in a development phase of a system to design

the system, wherein the system is an application, wherein the using comprises including,

in the system definition model [[,]] includes requirements of the system that must to be

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-8- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

satisfied by an environment in order for the system to be run in the environment, wherein

the system includes an application;

a development system executed on the processor to validate the environment by

comparing the requirements of the system with the environment to determine whether the

requirements of the system are satisfied by the environment during the development

phase;

the development system further executed on the processor to validate the system

by comparing the requirements of the environment with the system to determine whether

the requirements of the environment are satisfied by the system during the development

phase;

means operable by the processor a deployment module executed on the processor

for subsequently using the system definition model in a deployment phase of the system

to deploy the system on one or more computing devices;

means operable by the processor for, a management module executed on the

processor after deployment of the system, ealling to call one or more functions defined in

the system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system

deployed on the one or more computing devices; and

the controller further executed on a processor to use the system definition model to

integrate the development phase, the deployment phase, and the management phase in a

<u>lifecycle of the system.</u>

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-9- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

means operable by the processor for validating the environment by comparing the

requirements of the system with the environment to determine whether the requirements

of the system are satisfied by the environment during at least the design of the system

prior to the using the system definition model to deploy the system.

26. (Currently Amended) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for subsequently using the system definition model in [[a]] the development phase

comprises means for including, in the system definition model, includes knowledge

describing how to deploy the system.

27. (Currently Amended) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for subsequently using the system definition model in [[a]] the development phase

comprises means for including, in the system definition model, includes knowledge

describing how to deploy the system in multiple different environments, and wherein the

knowledge includes different knowledge describing how to deploy the system in each of

the multiple different environments.

28.-29. (Canceled)

30. (Currently Amended) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the

means for using call one or more functions defined in the system definition model in

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-10- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

[[a]]the management phase of the system comprises means for including, in the system

definition model, includes knowledge describing how to manage the system.

31. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:

a processor; and

a plurality of executable instructions which, when executed by the processor,

perform operations comprising:

using a system definition model to design an application, the system

definition model being applicable across a lifecycle of the application, wherein the

lifecycle of the application includes design of the application, deployment of the

application, and management of the application, and wherein the system definition

model includes a representation of an environment in which the application is to

be deployed, and the using includes binding the application to the representation in

the system definition model, the system definition model including requirements

of the application that must to be satisfied by the environment in order for the

application to run in the environment;

a development system executed on the processor to validate the

environment by comparing the requirements of the system with the environment to

determine whether the requirements of the system are satisfied by the environment

during a development phase;

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-11- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

the development system further executed on the processor to validate the

system by comparing the requirements of the environment with the system to

determine whether the requirements of the environment are satisfied by the system

during the development phase;

subsequently using the system definition model to deploy the application on

one or more computing devices;

during the deployment phase of the application, generating a record of

resources involved in the deployment phase and relationships between the

resources; and

after deployment of the application, calling one or more functions defined

within the system definition model to manage the application deployed on the one

or more computing devices;

wherein the system further includes a schema to dictate how functional

operations within the system definition model are to be specified.

32. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to deploy the application.

33. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to deploy the application in multiple different

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson -12- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

environments, and wherein the information includes different information describing how

to deploy the application in each of the multiple different environments.

34. (Currently Amended) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

definition model further includes requirements of the environment that must to be

satisfied by the application environment in order for the application to be run in the

environment, the plurality of executable instructions to further perform operations

comprising using the requirements of the environment during runtime while the

application is being managed to validate the changes to the application during runtime.

35. (Previously Presented) A system as recited in claim 34, wherein the

plurality of executable instructions to further perform operations comprising validating

the environment by comparing the requirements of the application with the environment

to determine whether the requirements are satisfied by the environment during at least the

design of the application.

36. (Previously Presented) A system as recited in claim 35, wherein the

plurality of executable instructions to further perform operations comprising validating

the application by comparing the requirements of the environment with the application to

determine whether the requirements of the environment are satisfied by the application

during at least the design of the application.

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson -13- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

37. (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system definition

model includes information describing how to manage the application.

38. (Previously Presented) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the

system further comprises:

another system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of the environment,

wherein the lifecycle of the environment includes design of the environment, deployment

of the environment, and management of the environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within the other

system definition model are to be specified.

39. (Previously Presented) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the other

system definition model for the environment is derived through examination of the

configuration of one or more computing devices.

40. (Currently Amended) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system

definition model includes constraints that must to be satisfied by the environment in order

for the application to be run on the environment, and wherein the other system definition

model includes constraints that must to be satisfied by the application in order for the

application to be run on the environment.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-14- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

41. (Original) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system further

comprises:

an additional system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of an additional

environment, wherein the lifecycle of the additional environment includes design of the

additional environment, deployment of the additional environment, and management of

the additional environment;

wherein the additional environment is layered below the environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within the

additional system definition model are to be specified.

42.-43. (Canceled)

44. (Previously Presented) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to deploy the system in multiple

different runtimes, and wherein the information includes different information describing

how to deploy the system in each of the multiple different runtimes.

45.-47. (Canceled)

Serial No.: 10/693,838

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-15- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

48. (Currently Amended) A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising,

prior to the design, deployment, and management of the system,

using, by the one or more computing devices, another system definition model to

design the environment, wherein the system is deployed to the environment on the one or

more computing devices;

subsequently using, by the one or more computing devices, the other system

definition model to deploy the environment on the one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the environment, using, by the one or more computing

devices, the other system definition model to manage the environment deployed on the

one or more computing devices,

wherein the system definition model includes the one or more requirements of the

system that must to be satisfied by the environment in order for the system to be run on

the one or more computing devices, and wherein the other system definition model

includes constraints that must to be satisfied by the system in order for the system to be

run on the one or more computing devices.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-16- lee@hayes The Business of IP®